

02-01-20

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant: HEGDE ET AL.  
 Docket: 30019.103US01  
 Title: METHOD AND APPARATUS FOR HARDWARE AND SOFTWARE CO-SIMULATION

## CERTIFICATE UNDER 37 CFR 1.10

'Express Mail' mailing label number EL435542232US

Date of Deposit: January 31, 2000

I hereby certify that this paper or fee is being deposited with the United States Postal Service 'Express Mail Post Office To Addressee' service under 37 CFR 1.10 and is addressed to the Assistant Commissioner for Patents, Washington, D.C. 20231.

By: *Linda McCormick*  
 Name: Linda McCormick

BOX PATENT APPLICATION  
 Assistant Commissioner for Patents  
 Washington, D.C. 20231

Sir:

We are transmitting herewith the attached:

- ☒ Transmittal sheet, in duplicate, containing Certificate under 37 CFR 1.10.
- ☒ Utility Patent Application: Spec. 18 pgs; 17 claims; Abstract 1 pgs.  
The fee has been calculated as shown below in the 'Claims as Filed' table.
- ☒ 5 sheets of informal drawings
- ☒ A signed Combined Declaration and Power of Attorney
- ☒ Assignment of the invention to Level One Communications, Inc., Recordation Form Cover Sheet
- ☒ A check in the amount of \$690.00 to cover the Filing Fee
- ☒ A check for \$40.00 to cover the Assignment Recording Fee.
- ☒ Return postcard

## CLAIMS AS FILED

Number of Claims Filed	In Excess of:	Number Extra	Rate	Fee
Basic Filing Fee				\$690.00
Total Claims				
17	20	0	18.00	\$0.00
Independent Claims				
3	3	0	78.00	\$0.00
MULTIPLE DEPENDENT CLAIM FEE				\$0.00
TOTAL FILING FEE				\$690.00

Please charge any additional fees or credit overpayment to Deposit Account No. 13-2725. A duplicate of this sheet is enclosed.

MERCHANT & GOULD P.C.  
 3100 Norwest Center, Minneapolis, MN 55402  
 (612) 332-5300

By: *Min S. Xu*  
 Name: Min S. Xu  
 Reg. No.: 39,536  
 Initials: AX:PSTkaw

## METHOD AND APPARATUS FOR HARDWARE AND SOFTWARE CO-SIMULATION

### BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

#### 1. Field of the Invention.

This invention relates in general to the field of Custom Application Specific Integrated Circuit (ASIC) development, and more particularly to a method and apparatus for hardware and software development and simulation/testing in ASIC development.

#### 2. Description of Related Art.

Digital systems today are primarily task specific embedded systems that include standard and/or custom hardware and software. Standard hardware may include off-the-shelf microprocessors and memory. Custom hardware is usually implemented as programmable logic devices (PLDs), or Application Specific Integrated Circuits (ASICs). Hardware architecture provides a framework on which the software processes execute. Standard software typically includes a real time operating system (RTOS) and configurable device drivers. Customer software includes embedded applications. The software architecture defines how these processes communicate.

Often, software is executed with a hardware simulator or model, and very often in cooperation with a hardware simulator or model, e.g., a semiconductor chip tester. A hardware prototype is coupled to the hardware simulator or model. The hardware simulator or model provides the hardware architecture with the values on

the input pins of a prototype hardware used as a building block in a system. This then drives the values onto the actual input pins of the prototype hardware. The hardware simulator or model can sample the output pins of the prototype hardware and return these values to the hardware simulator.

Traditionally, the hardware and software will not be validated together until at least a prototype of the hardware with sufficient functionality is available. As a result, the software development is generally delayed until final stages of the hardware development of a first prototype. This makes product development cycles too long for today's competitive market.

Also, part of the hardware development requires software functionality to be present to allow proper testing of the hardware. For instance, when developing an ASIC, usually a CPU model, which includes ASIC initializations and maybe another higher level functionality, is written. This higher level functionality is developed for a hardware testing and will later, again, be developed on the software side once the software development starts. As a result, the same tasks are written twice, once for the hardware test by using a high level language (e.g. in Verilog), and once again for the software development by using another high level language (e.g. in C). The translation between the two languages is not straightforward most of the time. In addition, these programs are often developed by different groups with different objectives in mind. Frequently, a system software finds that ASIC does not have the proper functionality after the system has been built. This may require building the ASIC again. Thus, a system development involving ASICs is a lengthy and resource intensive exercise. Further, a high performance multi-function ASIC, such as the

Porsche switching and routing ASIC system, developed by Level One Communications, Inc., is extremely complex and would require lengthy test and verification cycles. The time-to-market pressure makes it impractical to wait for hardware delivery before starting software development.

Problems in designing a complex hardware circuit board are also similar in nature. Typically, components of a hardware circuit board have to be tested before software development/debugging. Often times, software drivers run into hardware problems, which would require an expensive and time consuming hardware circuit board redesign.

It is with respect to these or other considerations that the present invention has been made.

### SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

In accordance with the present invention, the above and other problems are solved by providing a method and apparatus for hardware and software co-simulation in ASIC development. The present invention allows the software development and testing of hardware and software to start concurrently with the design of hardware so as to reduce an overall system development cycle involving ASICs.

In one embodiment of the present invention, a method of developing an ASIC includes developing hardware and software concurrently. The hardware and software are co-simulated therebetween via a network while the hardware and software are being developed.

Further in one embodiment of the present invention, the method further includes receiving test inputs for the co-simulation from a real world environment, such as an actual IP (Internet Protocol) network having switches, PCs, and routers. Alternatively, the method receives test inputs for the co-simulation from a test tool, such as ANVL from Midnight Networks or Netcom Smartbits systems.

In one embodiment of the present invention, a method of co-simulating hardware and software in ASIC development includes requesting an access to a hardware model from a hardware side to a software side via a network. A function call is invoked by a CPU server at a software side. An access request is sent from the hardware side to the CPU server at the software side via the network. The access request is routed to the hardware model.

In one embodiment of the present invention, an apparatus for hardware and software co-simulation in ASIC development includes a hardware model to represent

a hardware board circuit to be co-simulated/tested, the hardware model being developed on a workstation; a software to command and control accesses of the hardware model, the software being developed/debugged on a target board concurrently with a design of the hardware model; and a network, coupled between the workstation and the target board, to communicate command and control information from the software to the hardware model and to route contents of the command between the workstation and the target board.

Further in one embodiment of the present invention, the hardware model includes a CPU bus functional model which communicates between the hardware model and a CPU server of the target board via the network. The software is loaded on the CPU server. The network is an TCP/IP protocol.

Still in one embodiment of the present invention, the hardware model is capable of receiving inputs for simulation from a real working environment, e.g. a real network. Alternatively, the hardware model is capable of receiving inputs for simulation from a test tool, e.g. a protocol conformance test tool.

These and various other advantages and features of novelty which characterize the invention are pointed out with particularity in the claims annexed hereto and form a part hereof. However, for a better understanding of the invention, its advantages, and the objects obtained by its use, reference should be made to the drawings which form a further part hereof, and to accompanying descriptive matter, in which there are illustrated and described specific examples of an apparatus in accordance with the invention.

### BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

Referring now to the drawings in which like reference numbers represent corresponding parts throughout:

Fig. 1 illustrates a block diagram of one embodiment of a hardware and software co-simulation apparatus in ASIC development in accordance with the principles of the present invention;

Fig. 2 illustrates a flow diagram of one embodiment of a hardware and software co-simulation apparatus in ASIC development in accordance with the principles of the present invention;

Fig. 3 illustrates a state diagram of one embodiment of hardware and software co-simulation operations in ASIC development in accordance with the principles of the present invention;

Fig. 4 illustrates a block diagram of one embodiment of a hardware and software co-simulation apparatus in ASIC development of a data packet switching and routing system in accordance with the principles of the present invention; and

Fig. 5 illustrates a flow chart of one embodiment of hardware and software co-simulation operations in ASIC development of a data packet switching and routing system in accordance with the principles of the present invention.

### DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE PREFERRED EMBODIMENT

The present invention provides a method and apparatus for hardware and software co-simulation in ASIC development. The present invention allows the software development and testing of ASICs to start concurrently with the design of ASICs so as to reduce an overall system development cycle involving ASICs. The method and apparatus for hardware and software co-simulation in accordance with the principles of the present invention enables software development and debugging to start well before an actual ASIC hardware is available. Also, the present invention can be used for a board design as well as an ASIC design. In addition, the present invention provides a mechanism for testing and verification for an actual hardware and ASICs in a real world environment well before an actual hardware is available. Further, the present invention can be used to demonstrate actual hardware product capabilities to potential customers/sales/marketing people well before an actual hardware is available.

Before describing in detail a particular embodiment of a method and apparatus for hardware and software co-simulation in accordance with the present invention, it should be understood that other embodiments may be utilized as structural changes may be made without departing from the scope of the present invention, and that the present invention resides primarily in a novel structural combination of conventional signal processing and communication circuits and components and not in the particular detailed configurations thereof. Accordingly, the structure, arrangement, and control of these conventional circuits and components have been illustrated in drawings by readily understandable block diagrams which show only those specific



details that are pertinent to the present invention, so as not to obscure the disclosure with structural details which will be readily apparent to those skilled in the art having the benefit of the description herein. Thus, the block diagram illustrations of the figures do not necessarily represent the electrical or mechanical structural arrangement of an exemplary system or circuitry, but are primarily intended to illustrate the major structural components of the system or circuitry in a convenient functional group, so that the present invention may be more readily understood.

In Figure 1, a hardware and software co-simulation apparatus 100 in ASIC development in accordance with the principles of the present invention includes a hardware model 102 presenting a hardware board circuit which is an actual hardware board circuit. The hardware model 102 can be developed on a workstation 104, such as a Unix workstation. The hardware model 102 is described in a high-level description language, such as Verilog (see FIG. 4), HDSL, etc., which is synthesizable. For example, an actual hardware having logic gates can be generated automatically using a Verilog model. A hardware model 102 may contain register sets and state machines that describe the behavioral and functional characteristics of an actual hardware board circuit.

The co-simulation apparatus 100 also includes a software 106 which programs and drives the hardware model 102. A target board 108 can be used as a platform for software development, and the software 106 runs on the target board 108. The target board 108 can be used as a platform for the actual hardware on which the ASIC, when it is fabricated, will sit. It is appreciated that other software development environment, such as IBM PC or Sun workstation, can be used to develop the same

software 106. The software development platform may require an TCP/IP socket environment. In Microsoft® Windows-95 or Windows-98 or Windows-NT machines, an TCP/IP socket environment is provided through winsock. In a Unix system, TCP/IP sockets are generally integrated into its operating system (OS).

The target board may be an actual hardware circuit board. In such a case, an actual target processor can be used to run the codes of the software 106. If the actual hardware board is not ready, a demo system including a target CPU server can be used. This allows the simulated hardware model 102 to use a target real time operating system (RTOS) during the software development. On the other hand, if a demo system is used, e.g. a PC or a Sun workstation, the software 106 can be compiled to its native processor, and system function calls can be remapped to a target operating system. It is appreciated that in any case, all the accesses to an actual hardware, e.g. ASIC registers, or an ASIC memory, etc., are made through function calls. These function calls can be encapsulated as TCP/IP socket calls.

The co-simulation apparatus 100 further includes a network 110 coupled between the workstation 104 and the target board 108. The network 110 preferably uses an TCP/IP protocol. The network 110 communicates the command and control information of the software 106 to the hardware model 102 and routes the contents of the command between the workstation 104 and the target board 108. In one embodiment as shown in Figure 4, the TCP/IP protocol is used for communication between a Verilog hardware model through a programming language interface (PLI) and a target board which runs a driver software. The driver software controls the

operation of the hardware. The hardware and software co-simulation is preferably configured in an TCP/IP client/server model. The software side is the server side, and the hardware side is the client side. Accordingly, whenever a memory access function is invoked, the TCP/IP sockets are used to communicate with a CPU server 112 of the target board 108 at the software side. The software 106 is run on the CPU server 112.

Also in Figure 1, the hardware model 102 includes a CPU bus functional model 114 which communicates the hardware model 102 to the CPU server 112 of the target board 108 via the network 110. The hardware model 102 is capable of receiving inputs 120 for simulation from a real network (Figure 4). Alternatively, the hardware model 102 is capable of receiving inputs for simulation from a test tool, such as a protocol conformance test tool (Figure 4). A real network or a test tool is generally used to study how the hardware model 102 behaves under the different operating conditions. For example, in a data packet switching and routing system, real data packets from the network or simulated data packets from a test tool can be fed into input ports 116A, 116B, ... 116N of the hardware model 102. The outputs of the hardware model 102 can be studied under various real working environments or test conditions to ensure that the hardware works well, before an actual hardware or a hardware subsystem is built. The present invention provides for much more realistic and extensive test coverage. Also, when the packets are generated from external sources including actual networks, the testing can be much faster and less error prone than the testing when the packets are generated from internal sources. Further, the workstation 104 may include input ports 118A, 118B, ... 118N to

interface between the real working environment/test tool and the hardware model 102 to receive test inputs 120.

In Figure 1, communications across the network 110 and within the hardware model 102, e.g. Verilog environment, may use an XBUS protocol. Generally, the XBUS protocol is a mechanism defined to share messages between modules in a simulated environment, such as a Verilog environment. The same mechanism can be used to share messages between Unix/TCP/IP sockets and the Verilog environment. The XBUS was originally defined as a 32-bit bus (with 32-bit data and one Valid signal), which passes messages using a terahertz clock, so that messages can be exchanged in near zero time. However, this traditional XBUS mechanism increases the simulation time because the data is physically transferred over the bus. Also, it becomes cumbersome to include this traditional XBUS in a system level simulation environment, since the 32-bit bus needs to be physically connected between modules.

One embodiment of an XBUS in the present invention uses a new mechanism which is defined to exchange data without using a physical bus, even though the old name XBUS is still used. The new mechanism of the XBUS includes a module at the top level which has registers where a message header and data are stored. All the modules that use this XBUS share these registers to exchange messages among them. This allows the hardware and software to share/exchange messages with a single format.

The XBUS uses an arbitration system called "tickets" because it can work like the tickets at a Deli restaurant. Basically, one pulls a ticket and waits for the number to be called. When a module, for example, an TCP/IP interface, wants to send a message,

the module grabs a ticket and waits for its number to become current. Since messages take one simulation time unit to send, usually there is no wait. The module copies the message header and data and toggles a register called "XmessageSignal". All other XBUS clients or modules see this, compare the destination address with its own and ignore or act on the message.

The XBUS used in the present invention has a message format generally described above. The message format is used to signal events such as "Packet", "CPU Read", and "Acknowledge", etc. The hardware model 102 may communicate by using a XBUS type message format and respond to messages, such as "Packet", by generating appropriate hardware signals to the simulated hardware. When a packet is generated by the simulated hardware, or data from a CPU read operation is available, the packet or data is captured and sent as an XBUS message out of the hardware model 102 and into the network 110. In an example of a Verilog environment, it is appreciated that although the Verilog environment may be natively support message based communications, significant benefits in the reuse of the hardware model between the ASIC and co-simulation environments are achieved by implementing the XBUS type of message passing methods. Verilog modules in a hardware model are assigned with addresses. An XBUS message board is visible to all modules in the simulation, and each can arbitrate for access to the board in order to post a message.

Accordingly, the present invention provides a dual interface mode. One is for actual data packet processing, and the other one is for command and control operations. Also, the present invention provides a mechanism to actually process data packets just like the real hardware. These data packets can be packets generated from

standard packet generators or protocol conformance test tools. The data packets can also be actual network traffic or a real working environment. In addition, the present invention allows to demonstrate and study realistically the capabilities of the hardware well before an actual hardware is available.

Further, the present invention allows to mix virtual hardware (i.e. ASIC under development) with already existing hardware (i.e. demo board with a particular processor). This characteristic eases the development of a system hardware model thereby allowing the use of real components when their models are unavailable, too expensive, or require too much modeling time.

Furthermore, the present invention allows to run the software on a real target board or a demo board with a target CPU thereby making co-simulations much faster than the ones based on soft CPU models, especially in tasks that do not require interaction with a virtual hardware (e.g. OS boot).

Moreover, the present invention allows to reuse most of the hardware model, e.g. Verilog model, etc., from the ASIC design and test environment, which may be completely Verilog based. Since both the ASIC and co-simulation environments utilize the common XBUS protocol, the fundamental difference between the ASIC and co-simulation environments is whether XBUS messages are generated and checked by a hardware model, e.g. a Verilog model, or whether they are transmitted through an TCP/IP network to the data packet server (i.e. the server which controls the input data packets) and the control/command CPU server (i.e. the CPU server which runs the software on the target board).

In Figure 2, a flow diagram of one embodiment of the hardware and software co-simulation apparatus 100 in ASIC development, in accordance with the principles of the present invention, is illustrated. The hardware and software are developed concurrently. On the hardware development side, the CPU bus functional model 114 interfaces with a hardware language simulator 122, e.g. a Verilog based simulator, to simulate the hardware model 102. Data packets 120 are input into the hardware model 102.

Also in Figure 2, on the software development side, the control/command software 106 is run on the CPU server 112. During the development of the hardware model 102 and the software 106, either under a real network or under a test tool, functions can be called by the software 106 when data packets are input. In the case of a data packet switching and routing system, accesses to the hardware model are made through function calls. The function calls are encapsulated as TCP/IP network socket calls. When an ASIC data structure or memory is read to be accessed, function calls that use TCP/IP network sockets are used to communicate with the CPU server. If the command is to read a memory location, a READ function is called to send the address of the location to be read to the CPU server which in turn feeds it to the hardware model, e.g. a Verilog model. The data is then read, and the function call is returned to the software. If the command is to write a location, a WRITE function is called to send the address of the location and the value to be written to the CPU server which in turn feeds it to the hardware model, e.g. a Verilog model. The location/register then gets written, and the function call is

returned to the software. In both cases, the software running on the development platform initiates the communication.

In a real time system, asynchronous events can arrive as interrupts. An interrupt handler (not shown) waits for an event. A server for data packets at the hardware side initiates the communication. One of the events is to send a data packet to the CPU server at the software side. The interrupt handler invokes a process to read the data packet. Another event is to send an interrupt to the CPU server at the software side to indicate error conditions, status change conditions, or unresolved flow entries, etc. The interrupt handler invokes appropriate software processes at the software side to handle these conditions.

In Figure 3, a state diagram of one embodiment of hardware and software co-simulation operations in ASIC development, in accordance with the principles of the present invention, is illustrated. In addition to read/write processes, the hardware and software can be co-simulated and tested in other processes, such as hardware interrupts, reset, shutdown, and acknowledgment of the READ/WRITE processes, etc. It will be appreciated that other functions/processes can be called while the hardware and software are being developed concurrently.

In Figure 4, a hardware and software co-simulation apparatus 124 is implemented in the ASIC development of a specific data packet switching and routing system. An actual hardware is described in a Verilog model 126. The Verilog model 126 is run on a Unix workstation 128. The hardware model 126 includes a CPU bus functional model 130 which communicates with a driver software 132 via an TCP/IP



network 134. The software 132 is run on a CPU server 136 with a real time operating system (RTOS) of a target board 138.

Also in Figure 4, the Verilog model 126 includes a plurality of Verilog model ports 140, each of which interfaces with a data packet server 142 port.

Further, in Figure 4, a network interface card (NIC) 144 is included in the Unix workstation 128. The data packet server 142 monitors the NIC 144 and waits for the NIC 144 to feed actual data packets that need to be switched or routed by the Verilog model 126. It can also be manually operated to generate packets of various types.

The Verilog model 126 also provides an interface for external software programs written in high level language such as C programming language to access internal registers of the Verilog model 126. This interface is often referred to as a programming language interface (PLI). As shown, the data packet server 142 provides an interface between an external driver and the Verilog model 126. The data packet server 142 also polls the Verilog model 126. When the data packet server 142 has a packet that needs to be forwarded by the Verilog model 126, the data packet server 142 sends the packet out. Further, for the testing/debugging the hardware and software, data packets can be generated via a real network/protocol conformance test tool 146 and sent to the Verilog model 126.

Also in Figure 4, the data packet switching and routing system 124 uses a memory interface to communicate with the control and command interface of the CPU server 136. This memory interface can be simulated by providing the CPU bus functional model 130 on the Unix workstation. The target board 138 runs the driver

software 132. The Verilog model 126 uses this interface to send asynchronous events to the driver software 132 or to receive commands and configuration information from the driver software 132 running on the target board 138. Asynchronous events processed by the software 132 include the arrival of data packets that are addressed to a switch, error conditions need to be handled, the first data packet for a flow for which an entry needs to be created in a memory for storing the data packets, etc. The memory for storing the data packets can be a SRAM or any other suitable memory (not shown).

The NIC 144 can be used for a packet interface for switching and routing input data packets. The data packet server 142 monitors the NIC 144. Data packets are received from external sources 146, such as a software packet generator, a protocol conformance test tool (e.g. ANVL from Midnight Networks), a standard test tool (e.g. Netcom Smartbits packet generator or Wandell & Golterman packet generator), or an actual network traffic. Data packets received by the NIC 144 are fed to the data packet server 142. These data packets are then forwarded to the Verilog model 126. The data packets are then switched or routed by the Verilog model 126 controlled by the software 132. The data packets may also be sent to the external sources (not shown) through the NIC 144. The NIC 144 represent multiple switching and routing ports of a switch. It is appreciated that other ASICs can be developed within the scope of the present invention. For example, an ASIC development for an ATM switch which has a multi-port ATM card.

In Figure 5, an operational flow 148 of one embodiment of hardware and software co-simulation in ASIC development, in accordance with the principles of the

present invention, starts with an operation 150 of requesting an access to a hardware model from a hardware side to a software side. Then, a function call is invoked by a CPU server at a software side in an operation 152. Next, an access request from the hardware side is sent to the CPU server at the software side in an operation 154. Then, the access request is routed to the hardware model in an operation 156.

It is appreciated that other co-simulation/testing operations can be performed between the hardware side and the software side via a network while the hardware and software are being developed concurrently without departing from the scope of the present invention. For example, an interrupt process can be invoked in the hardware and software co-simulation.

The foregoing description of the exemplary embodiment of the invention has been presented for the purposes of illustration and description. It is not intended to be exhaustive or to limit the invention to the precise form disclosed. Many modifications and variations are possible in light of the above teaching. It is intended that the scope of the invention be limited not with this detailed description, but rather by the claims appended hereto.

WHAT IS CLAIMED IS:

1. A method of developing an ASIC, comprising:  
developing hardware and software concurrently; and  
co-simulating the hardware and software therebetween via a network while the hardware and software are being developed.
2. The method of claim 1, wherein the hardware is developed on a workstation.
3. The method of claim 1, wherein the software is developed on a target board.
4. The method of claim 1, wherein the network is an TCP/IP protocol.
5. The method of claim 1, wherein the hardware to be co-simulated is described by a high-level language model.
6. The method of claim 1, further comprising receiving test inputs for the co-simulation from a real working environment.
7. The method of claim 1, further comprising receiving test inputs for the co-simulation from a test tool.
8. A method of co-simulating hardware and software in ASIC development, comprising:

requesting an access to a hardware model from a hardware side to a software side via a network;

invoking a function call by a CPU server at a software side;

sending an access request from the hardware side to the CPU server at the software side via the network; and

routing the access request to the hardware model.

9. The method of claim 8, wherein the function call is a READ function call.
10. The method of claim 8, wherein the function call is a WRITE function call.
11. The method of claim 8, further comprising requesting a hardware model interrupt, and a function call to handle the interrupt being invoked by the software via the network.
12. An apparatus for hardware and software co-simulation in ASIC development comprising:
  - a hardware model to represent a hardware board circuit to be co-simulated/tested, the hardware model being developed on a workstation;
  - a software to command and control accesses of the hardware model, the software being developed/debugged on a target board concurrently with a design of the hardware model; and

a network, coupled between the workstation and the target board, to communicate a command from the software to the hardware model and to route contents of the command between the workstation and the target board.

13. The apparatus of claim 12, wherein the hardware model comprises a CPU bus functional model which communicates the hardware model to a CPU server of the target board via the network.

14. The apparatus of claim 13, wherein the software is loaded on the CPU server.

15. The apparatus of claim 12, wherein the network is an TCP/IP protocol.

16. The apparatus of claim 12, wherein the hardware model is capable of receiving test inputs for co-simulation from a real working environment.

17. The apparatus of claim 12, wherein the hardware model is capable of receiving test inputs for co-simulation from a test tool.

ABSTRACT

A method and apparatus for hardware and software co-simulation in ASIC development includes developing hardware and software concurrently and co-simulating the hardware and software therebetween via a network while the hardware and software are being developed. The method and apparatus for hardware and software co-simulation allows the software development and testing of hardware and software to start with the design of hardware so as to reduce an overall system development cycle involving ASICs.

100

110

Network

120

Workstation

104

102

Test

Inputs

118A

Input Port 0

118B

Input Port 1

...

Input Port N

...

116A

Hardware Model Port 0

116B

Hardware Model Port 1

...

Hardware Model Port N

...

Hardware Model 1

114

CPU Bus Functional Model 1

108 Target Board

112

CPU Server

Software

106

FIG. 1






FIG. 2




FIG. 3




FIG. 4.




FIG. 5

MERCHANT &amp; GOULD P.C.

## United States Patent Application

## COMBINED DECLARATION AND POWER OF ATTORNEY

As a below named inventor I hereby declare that: my residence, post office address and citizenship are as stated below next to my name; that

I verily believe I am the original, first and sole inventor (if only one name is listed below) or a joint inventor (if plural inventors are named below) of the subject matter which is claimed and for which a patent is sought on the invention entitled: **METHOD AND APPARATUS FOR HARDWARE AND SOFTWARE CO-SIMULATION**

The specification of which

- a. ☐ is attached hereto
- b. ☒ is entitled **METHOD AND APPARATUS FOR HARDWARE AND SOFTWARE CO-SIMULATION**, having an attorney docket number **30019.103US01**
- c. ☐ was filed on      as application serial no.      and was amended on      (if applicable) (in the case of a PCT-filed application) described and claimed in international no.      filed      and as amended on      (if any), which I have reviewed and for which I solicit a United States patent.

I hereby state that I have reviewed and understand the contents of the above-identified specification, including the claims, as amended by any amendment referred to above.

I acknowledge the duty to disclose information which is material to the patentability of this application in accordance with Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations, § 1.56 (attached hereto).

I hereby claim foreign priority benefits under Title 35, United States Code, § 119/365 of any foreign application(s) for patent or inventor's certificate listed below and have also identified below any foreign application for patent or inventor's certificate having a filing date before that of the application on the basis of which priority is claimed:

- a. ☒ no such applications have been filed.
- b. ☐ such applications have been filed as follows:

FOREIGN APPLICATION(S), IF ANY, CLAIMING PRIORITY UNDER 35 USC § 119			
COUNTRY	APPLICATION NUMBER	DATE OF FILING (day, month, year)	DATE OF ISSUE (day, month, year)
ALL FOREIGN APPLICATION(S), IF ANY, FILED BEFORE THE PRIORITY APPLICATION(S)			
COUNTRY	APPLICATION NUMBER	DATE OF FILING (day, month, year)	DATE OF ISSUE (day, month, year)

I hereby claim the benefit under Title 35, United States Code, § 120/365 of any United States and PCT international application(s) listed below and, insofar as the subject matter of each of the claims of this application is not disclosed in the prior United States application in the manner provided by the first paragraph of Title 35, United States Code, § 112, I acknowledge the duty to disclose material information as defined in Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations, § 1.56(a) which occurred between the filing date of the prior application and the national or PCT international filing date of this application.

U.S. APPLICATION NUMBER	DATE OF FILING (day, month, year)	STATUS (patented, pending, abandoned)

I hereby claim the benefit under Title 35, United States Code § 119(e) of any United States provisional application(s) listed below:

U.S. PROVISIONAL APPLICATION NUMBER	DATE OF FILING (Day, Month, Year)

I hereby appoint the following attorney(s) and/or patent agent(s) to prosecute this application and to transact all business in the Patent and Trademark Office connected herewith:








Albrecht, John W.	Reg. No. 40,481	Lacy, Paul E.	Reg. No. 38,946
Anderson, Gregg I.	Reg. No. 28,828	Larson, James A.	Reg. No. 40,443
Ansems, Gregory M.	Reg. No. 42,264	Liepa, Mara E.	Reg. No. 40,066
Batzli, Brian H.	Reg. No. 32,960	Lindquist, Timothy A.	Reg. No. 40,701
Beard, John L.	Reg. No. 27,612	McDonald, Daniel W.	Reg. No. 32,044
Berns, John M.	Reg. No. 43,496	McIntyre, Jr., William F.	Reg. No. P-44,921
Black, Bruce E.	Reg. No. 41,622	Mueller, Douglas P.	Reg. No. 30,300
Bruess, Steven C.	Reg. No. 34,130	Pauly, Daniel M.	Reg. No. 40,123
Byrne, Linda M.	Reg. No. 32,404	Phillips, John B.	Reg. No. 37,206
Carlson, Alan G.	Reg. No. 25,959	Plunkett, Theodore	Reg. No. 37,209
Caspers, Philip P.	Reg. No. 33,227	Pytel, Melissa J.	Reg. No. 41,512
Chiapetta, James R.	Reg. No. 39,634	Reich, John C.	Reg. No. 37,703
Clifford, John A.	Reg. No. 30,247	Reiland, Earl D.	Reg. No. 25,767
Cochran, William W.	Reg. No. 26,652	Schmaltz, David G.	Reg. No. 39,828
Daignault, Ronald A.	Reg. No. 25,968	Schuman, Mark D.	Reg. No. 31,197
Daley, Dennis R.	Reg. No. 34,994	Schumann, Michael D.	Reg. No. 30,422
Dalglish, Leslie E.	Reg. No. 40,579	Scull, Timothy B.	Reg. No. 42,137
Daulton, Julie R.	Reg. No. 36,414	Sebald, Gregory A.	Reg. No. 33,280
DeVries Smith, Katherine M.	Reg. No. 42,157	Skoog, Mark T.	Reg. No. 40,178
DiPietro, Mark J.	Reg. No. 28,707	Soderberg, Richard	Reg. No. P-43,352
Edell, Robert T.	Reg. No. 20,187	Stoll-DeBell, Kirstin L.	Reg. No. 43,164
Epp Ryan, Sandra	Reg. No. 39,667	Storer, Shelley D.	Reg. No. 45,135
Glance, Robert J.	Reg. No. 40,620	Sumner, John P.	Reg. No. 29,114
Goggin, Matthew J.	Reg. No. 44,125	Sumners, John S.	Reg. No. 24,216
Golla, Charles E.	Reg. No. 26,896	Swenson, Erik G.	Reg. No. 45,147
Gorman, Alan G.	Reg. No. 38,472	Tellekson, David K.	Reg. No. 32,314
Gould, John D.	Reg. No. 18,223	Trembath, Jon R.	Reg. No. 38,344
Gregson, Richard	Reg. No. 41,804	Underhill, Albert L.	Reg. No. 27,403
Gresens, John J.	Reg. No. 33,112	Vandenburgh, J. Derek	Reg. No. 32,179
Hamre, Curtis B.	Reg. No. 29,165	Wahl, John R.	Reg. No. 33,044
Hillson, Randall A.	Reg. No. 31,838	Weaver, Karrie G.	Reg. No. 43,245
Holzer, Jr., Richard J.	Reg. No. 42,668	Welter, Paul A.	Reg. No. 20,890
Johnston, Scott W.	Reg. No. 39,721	Whipps, Brian	Reg. No. 43,261
Kadievitch, Natalie D.	Reg. No. 34,196	Wickhem, J. Scot	Reg. No. 41,376
Kastelic, Joseph M.	Reg. No. 37,160	Williams, Douglas J.	Reg. No. 27,054
Kettelberger, Denise	Reg. No. 33,924	Witt, Jonelle	Reg. No. 41,980
Keys, Jeramie J.	Reg. No. 42,724	Xu, Min S.	Reg. No. 39,536
Knearl, Homer L.	Reg. No. 21,197	Zeuli, Anthony R.	Reg. No. 45,255
Kowalchyk, Alan W.	Reg. No. 31,535		
Kowalchyk, Katherine M.	Reg. No. 36,848		



I hereby authorize them to act and rely on instructions from and communicate directly with the person/assignee/attorney/firm/ organization who/which first sends/sent this case to them and by whom/which I hereby declare that I have consented after full disclosure to be represented unless/until I instruct Merchant & Gould P.C. to the contrary.

Please direct all correspondence in this case to Merchant & Gould P.C. at the address indicated below:

Merchant & Gould P.C.  
3100 Norwest Center  
90 South Seventh Street  
Minneapolis, MN 55402-4131

I hereby declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent issued thereon.

2	Full Name Of Inventor	Family Name HEGDE	First Given Name GOPAL	Second Given Name
0	Residence & Citizenship	City SAN JOSE	State or Foreign Country CALIFORNIA	Country of Citizenship INDIA
1	Post Office Address	Post Office Address 1305 TEA ROSE CIRCLE	City SAN JOSE	State & Zip Code/Country CALIFORNIA 95131/USA
Signature of Inventor 201: x 			Date: x 1/24/2000	
2	Full Name Of Inventor	Family Name RATHAUR	First Given Name SURENDRA	Second Given Name
0	Residence & Citizenship	City MILPITAS	State or Foreign Country CALIFORNIA	Country of Citizenship USA
2	Post Office Address	Post Office Address 2144 SHILOH AVENUE	City MILPITAS	State & Zip Code/Country CALIFORNIA 95035/USA
Signature of Inventor 202: x 			Date: x 1-23-00	
2	Full Name Of Inventor	Family Name GUERRERO	First Given Name MIGUEL	Second Given Name
0	Residence & Citizenship	City UNION CITY	State or Foreign Country CALIFORNIA	Country of Citizenship SPAIN
3	Post Office Address	Post Office Address 2175 DECOTO ROAD, APT 156	City UNION CITY	State & Zip Code/Country CALIFORNIA 94587/USA
Signature of Inventor 203: x  			Date: x 01/24/2000	
2	Full Name Of Inventor	Family Name HEGDE	First Given Name ANOOP	Second Given Name
0	Residence & Citizenship	City SUNNYVALE	State or Foreign Country CALIFORNIA	Country of Citizenship INDIA
4	Post Office Address	Post Office Address 417 E MAUDE AVENUE, #17	City SUNNYVALE	State & Zip Code/Country CALIFORNIA 94086/USA
Signature of Inventor 204: x 			Date: x 01/24/2000	
2	Full Name Of Inventor	Family Name GANGA	First Given Name ILANGO	Second Given Name
0	Residence & Citizenship	City SAN JOSE	State or Foreign Country CALIFORNIA	Country of Citizenship INDIA
5	Post Office Address	Post Office Address 4291 NORWALK AVENUE, APT. V-112 	City SAN JOSE	State & Zip Code/Country CALIFORNIA 95129/USA
Signature of Inventor 205: x 			Date: x 01/24/2000	

2	Full Name Of Inventor	Family Name MUTT	First Given Name AMAMATH	Second Given Name
0	Residence & Citizenship	City SUNNYVALE	State or Foreign Country CALIFORNIA	Country of Citizenship INDIA
6	Post Office Address	Post Office Address 1337 CORDILLERAS AVENUE	City SUNNYVALE	State & Zip Code/Country CALIFORNIA 94087/USA
Signature of Inventor 206: x 			Date: x Jan 24, 2000	
2	Full Name Of Inventor	Family Name SABATO	First Given Name SIMON	Second Given Name
0	Residence & Citizenship	City SUNNYVALE	State or Foreign Country CALIFORNIA	Country of Citizenship AUSTRALIA
7	Post Office Address	Post Office Address 1195 LIME DRIVE	City SUNNYVALE	State & Zip Code/Country CALIFORNIA 94087/USA
Signature of Inventor 207: x 			Date: x December 30, 1999	



## § 1.56 Duty to disclose information material to patentability.

(a) A patent by its very nature is affected with a public interest. The public interest is best served, and the most effective patent examination occurs when, at the time an application is being examined, the Office is aware of and evaluates the teachings of all information material to patentability. Each individual associated with the filing and prosecution of a patent application has a duty of candor and good faith in dealing with the Office, which includes a duty to disclose to the Office all information known to that individual to be material to patentability as defined in this section. The duty to disclose information exists with respect to each pending claim until the claim is canceled or withdrawn from consideration, or the application becomes abandoned. Information material to the patentability of a claim that is canceled or withdrawn from consideration need not be submitted if the information is not material to the patentability of any claim remaining under consideration in the application. There is no duty to submit information which is not material to the patentability of any existing claim. The duty to disclose all information known to be material to patentability is deemed to be satisfied if all information known to be material to patentability of any claim issued in a patent was cited by the Office or submitted to the Office in the manner prescribed by §§ 1.97(b)–(d) and 1.98. However, no patent will be granted on an application in connection with which fraud on the Office was practiced or attempted or the duty of disclosure was violated through bad faith or intentional misconduct. The Office encourages applicants to carefully examine:

(1) prior art cited in search reports of a foreign patent office in a counterpart application, and

(2) the closest information over which individuals associated with the filing or prosecution of a patent application believe any pending claim patentably defines, to make sure that any material information contained therein is disclosed to the Office.

(b) Under this section, information is material to patentability when it is not cumulative to information already of record or being made of record in the application, and

(1) It establishes, by itself or in combination with other information, a prima facie case of unpatentability of a claim;

(2) It refutes, or is inconsistent with, a position the applicant takes in:

(i) Opposing an argument of unpatentability relied on by the Office, or

(ii) Asserting an argument of patentability.

A prima facie case of unpatentability is established when the information compels a conclusion that a claim is unpatentable under the preponderance of evidence, burden-of-proof standard, giving each term in the claim its broadest reasonable construction consistent with the specification, and before any consideration is given to evidence which may be submitted in an attempt to establish a contrary conclusion of patentability.

(c) Individuals associated with the filing or prosecution of a patent application within the meaning of this section are:

(1) Each inventor named in the application:

(2) Each attorney or agent who prepares or prosecutes the application; and

(3) Every other person who is substantively involved in the preparation or prosecution of the application and who is associated with the inventor, with the assignee or with anyone to whom there is an obligation to assign the application.

(d) Individuals other than the attorney, agent or inventor may comply with this section by disclosing information to the attorney, agent, or inventor.